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ABSTRACT

In this study, the self-efficacy beliefs of final year students in the department of geography have been analysed in terms of different variables. 90 senior class students studying at Karabük University Faculty of Arts in Turkey, the department of geography in the 2014-2015 academic year participated in the study. The screening model was implemented. The self-efficacy belief scale related to geography” developed by Karadeniz (2005) was used as data collection tool. The scale consists of three subscales and totally 19 items. To analyse the data, in addition to the descriptive statistics, t-test and one-way analysis of variance were used. According to the obtained results, it was determined that Senior students of department of Geography self-efficacy scores level on Geography department was significantly different in the context of gender. There was no statistically significant difference according to learning level.
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COĞRAFYA BÖLÜMÜ SON SINIF ÖĞRENCİLERİNİN COĞRAFYA ALANINA YÖNELİK ÖZ YETERLİK İNANÇLARI

ÖZ


Anahtar Kelimeler: Coğrafya, öz yeterlik, coğrafya bölümü.
1. INTRODUCTION

Self-efficacy is one of the crucial concepts that Bandura (1977) believes to have an influence on behavior and underlined in the Social Learning Theory. Self-efficacy refers to the belief of an individual to have the skills, attitudes and knowledge required for being able to execute a duty or a behavior (Bandura, 1994). Self-efficacy was also defined as the belief of an individual to be having strategies that are effective under difficult conditions (Boulton, 2014). In other words, the perception of self-efficacy asserts that individuals need to be self-confident in the related field (Pajares, 2002).

The belief of self-efficacy is a belief that changes and develops in time through experiences. The successful and unsuccessful experiences of an individual shapes their self-efficacy beliefs. In addition, they can also be influenced by the experiences of other people. Self-efficacy perceptions of individuals can change when they observe their peers or colleagues. They can compare themselves with the people they observe and shape their perceptions. Other people’s advices and encouragements can influence self-efficacy belief to different extents. The emotional state of an individual about succeeding or failing in a situation is also crucial (Bandura, 1994; Lee, 2005; Chen et al., 2004). Thus, self-efficacy is affected by the environment, experiences and emotional characteristics of an individual. In general terms, it can be said that the belief of self-efficacy is influenced by the individuals motivation, feelings, thoughts and behaviors (Uysal and Kosemen, 2013).

Individuals’ perceptions about their academic competences helps them determine what they can do with the experiences and skills they acquire. Individuals’ perceptions about their capacities are shaped according to what they accomplish with their knowledge and skills (Cubukcu and Girmen, 2005: 420). Because self-efficacy is based on perceptions about certain behaviors, it is believed that its structure is related to a special or a specific field. For example, an individual can have a high level of self-efficacy perception in one field and a low level of self-efficacy perception in another field. Thus, the concept of self-efficacy in social psychology is observed to be practicable in different fields (Demiralay and Karadeniz, 2010). For example, map self-efficacy perception, internet literacy self-efficacy perception, mathematics self-efficacy perception etc. Researches on teacher self-efficacy have become widespread among the studies conducted about self-efficacy. Teacher self-efficacy has been the subject of many international studies (SvenjaVieluf et al.,2013). Also, teacher self-efficacy has its unique conditions (Tschannen-Moran and Woolfolk Hoy, 2007; Olli-Pekka Malinen, 2013). Thus, teachers are expected to be sufficient on issues like outer-school activities, near and far land practices. Multicultural education practices have become more important due to globalization, global cities and the increases in interaction between multicultural social structures and other cultures, people and countries and new educational needs have become necessary. Population growth, environmental problems and technological developments have forced teachers to adapt to many more changes.

When the related literature is examined, it can be observed that there are few self-efficacy studies on the field of geography. Some of these studies are researches on developing scales. A self-efficacy scale about pre-service social sciences teachers’ beliefs on geography has been developed by Karadeniz (2005). Geography teaching
self-efficacy scale was introduced on a study conducted by Karadeniz and Saru (2011). There are also studies aiming at developing scales on different geography skills. Oztürk (2008) developed a self-efficacy scale related to using the travel-observation technique in geography education. Bozdogaz and Oztürk (2008) developed a self-efficacy belief scale on teaching science subjects related to geography. Some of the geography self-efficacy studies have been conducted on pre-service social sciences teachers. In their study conducted on pre-service social sciences teachers’ self-efficacy beliefs about the field of geography, Karadeniz and Ozdemir (2006) stated that; pre-service social sciences teachers found themselves to be moderately sufficient in the field of geography. Coskun (2007) conducted a study on pre-service social sciences teachers in Erzurum about their self-efficacy levels on geography according to various variables, and found that the pre-service teachers’ self-efficacy levels were at a moderate level and could be improved. Gecit and Beldag (2014) received similar results in their study on social sciences teachers’ self-efficacy levels on the field of geography. This study was conducted in order to determine the self-efficacy levels on the field of geography of pre-service social sciences teachers who are receiving pedagogical formation training. In addition, whether the geography self-efficacy levels of students in the department of geography differ according to the gender and education type variables were determined in this study.

Answers for the following questions according to the primary purpose of the study were sought:

1. What are the geography department students’ opinions about the self-efficacy scale on the field of geography?

2. Do the self-efficacy beliefs of geography department students’ on the field of geography differ significantly according to the gender and education type variables?

2. METHOD

2.1. Research Design

This study, which aims at determining self-efficacy levels of senior geography department students on the field of geography, is designed with the screening model which describes a past or present situation the way it is.

2.2. Study Group

The study group consists of senior students studying in Karabuk University, Faculty of Arts and Department of Geography during the 2014-2015 academic year fall semester. Some of the information about the students participating in the study are given in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Personal Information</th>
<th>Number of Students (n=90)</th>
<th>Percentage (100%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>62.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>37.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Education</td>
<td>Daytime Education</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>53.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Evening Education</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>46.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It is evident on Table 1 that 62.2% (n=56) of the geography department students participating in the study are male and 37.8% (n=34) are female. 48 of the participant students receive daytime education and 42 receive evening education.

2. 3. Data Collection Instrument:

The “Self-efficacy Scale on the Field of Geography” was used for collecting the data. The scale introduced by Karadeniz (2005) consists of three sub-scales. Turning geography into life skills sub-scale refers to the individual's ability to master his or her geography knowledge throughout life. Geography self-perception sub-scale refers to the individual evaluating himself and to the state of self-confidence in the field of geography. Awareness in behaviors in the field of geography sub-scale refers to the individual assessing his or her behavioral competences in the field of Geography. Whether students agreed with the self-efficacy belief statements were evaluated with the 5 point rating scale as; always, usually, sometimes, rarely and never. Because the scale was subject to validity tests by Karadeniz (2005) during the scale development process, it wasn’t found necessary in this study. The Cronbach Alpha reliability coefficient was .80 during the scale development process.

2. 4. Data Collection and Analysis

The implementation was carried out according on a voluntary basis and completed in almost 10 minutes. Frequency, percentage, mean and standard deviation values were used in the analysis of the scale points of geography department students’ self efficacies on the field of geography. Whether geography department students’ self-efficacy beliefs on the field of geography differ significantly according to the “gender” and “education type” variable was determined with the “Independent Samples t-test”. While scoring each of the answers that the students gave, each positive item was graded as 5 points for always, 4 points for usually, 3 points for sometimes, 2 points for rarely and 1 point for the never option. The same process was conducted reversely on each negative item and the grading process was completed. Thus, the maximum score that could be gained from this scale was expected to be 95 and the minimum score 19. In grading the self-efficacy beliefs, 59 and below scores were accepted as low, score between 60 and 82 were moderate and 83 and above were high.

3. FINDINGS

3. 1. Geography department students’ self-efficacy levels on the field of geography

Information of geography department students’ self-efficacy scores on the field of geography are given in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>( \bar{X} )</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>Minimum Score</th>
<th>Maximum Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>74.566</td>
<td>6.939</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>88.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The lowest score was 50 and the highest score was 82 as a result of the analysis carried out on the data of the research. Mean scores of the geography department students’ self-efficacy levels on the field of geography were determined as $\bar{x}$=74.56. According to this finding, geography department students have a moderate level of self-efficacy on the field of geography.

### 3.2. Analysis of geography department students’ self-efficacy levels on the field of geography regarding the sub-dimensions

Geography department students’ self-efficacy scores on the field of geography regarding the sub-dimensions are given in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Self-Efficacy Scores Regarding The Sub-Dimensions Of The Data Collection Instrument</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turning geography into life skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geography self-perception</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness in behaviors in the field of geography</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to Table 3., the mean of geography department students for the “turning geography into life skills” sub-dimension is $\bar{x}$ =32.68, it is $\bar{x}$ =15.83 for the “geography self-perception” sub-dimension and $\bar{x}$=30.16 for the “awareness in behaviors in the field of geography” sub-dimension.

#### 3.2.1. Turning geography into life skills sub-dimension

Opinions of geography department students regarding the turning geography into life skills sub-dimension are given in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4. Opinions of geography department students on turning geography into life skills.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Statements in the Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 I can effectively teach my geography knowledge to others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 I can make geographical predictions with my geography knowledge.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 I can evaluate my environment in a social-cultural and economical view with my geography knowledge.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 I can show geographical information on maps.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 I know how to act when I encounter a new situation related to geography.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 I believe that I have a sufficient geographical view point.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 I can interpret graphics and tables about geography subjects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 I believe I can effectively carry out my geography knowledge in my daily life.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the results of the turning geography into life skills sub-dimensions, the lowest score is 24 and the highest score is 40. The mean of this sub-dimension is $\bar{x}=32.68$. The item which received the most positive answers for this sub-dimension was “I can evaluate my environment in a social-cultural and economical view with my geography knowledge”. Only 1 student gave a negative opinion (rarely/never) for this item and 81 students, in other words 90% of the students, gave positive opinions (usually/always) for this item. For the 1. item, 83.3% (n=75) of the students, 85.5% (n=77) of the students for the 2. item, 84.5% (n=76) of the students for the 4. item and 82.2% (n=74) of the students for the 7. item gave positive opinions With regards to this dimension, the item which received the least positive opinions and which was sometimes marked the most was the “I know how to act when I encounter a new situation related to geography,” statement. While almost 66.7% of the students gave positive opinions, almost 32.2% (n=29) of the students marked the sometimes option.

3.2.2. Geography self-perception sub-dimension

Geography department student’s opinions on the geography self-perception sub-dimension are given in Table 5.

Table 5. Results Regarding Geography Department Students Opinions On The Geography Self-Perception Dimension

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statements in the Scale</th>
<th>Always</th>
<th>Usually</th>
<th>Sometimes</th>
<th>Rarely</th>
<th>Never</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>I believe that I am furnished with sufficient geographical knowledge to assist me after I complete my education.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>55.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>I feel myself more adaptable with the natural life thanks to my geographical knowledge.</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>43.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>I believe I can comprehend problems regarding the atmosphere through my geography knowledge.</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>48.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>If I put enough effort, I can explain every geographical event or state.</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>36.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the results of the geography self-perception sub-dimension, the lowest score is 6 and the highest score is 20. The mean of this sub-dimension is $\bar{x}=15.83$. The item which received the most positive answers for this sub-dimension was “I believe I can comprehend problems regarding the atmosphere through my geography knowledge.” Only 4 students gave a negative opinion for this item and 73 students (% 81.1) gave positive opinions for this item. The item which received the most negative opinions for this dimension was, “I believe that I am furnished with sufficient geographical knowledge to assist me after I complete my education.” It is obvious that the number of positive opinions for this item, which almost 12.2% (n=11) of the students gave negative opinions and 17% marked the sometimes option, are less (68.6%) than the other three items. Thus, a
certain proportion of the geography department students believe they are not furnished with a sufficient amount of geographical knowledge for their professional life.

3.2.3. **Awareness in behaviors in the field of geography sub-dimension**

Geography department students opinions on the awareness in behaviors in the field of geography sub-dimension are given in Table 6.

**Table 6.** Results of the geography department students opinions on the awareness in behaviors in the field of geography sub-dimension

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statements in the Scale</th>
<th>Always</th>
<th>Usually</th>
<th>Sometime</th>
<th>Rarely</th>
<th>Never</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I believe that I cannot fully learn geographical terms.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I cannot connect geographical terms I learn with real life events.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I cannot analyze Geographical items on maps.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don’t think I can conduct a research in the field of geography.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I do not know necessary methods that will effectively teach geographical terms.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I cannot explain geographical information on tables and graphics.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I believe that geography is not a suitable hobby for me.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is observed that student opinions were focused on “rarely” and “never” regarding the awareness in behaviors in the field of geography sub-dimension, which was created by putting the seven items together. Among the seven items that the students answered in this scale, a significant accumulation was observed in two items. Senior Geography department students responded to the item with the statement “I cannot connect geographical terms I learn with real life events.” as rarely and never with a rate of almost 65.6% (59 students). One other item in which a significant accumulation was observed was the item including the statement, “I do not know necessary methods that will effectively teach geographical terms”. 78.9% (71 students) of the students responded to this item as rarely or never.

3. **Geography department students’ self-efficacy levels on the field of geography regarding the “gender” variable**

Whether there is a significant difference between geography department students’ self-efficacy levels on the field of geography regarding the gender variable was determined with the independent sample t-test and the results are given in Table 7.
A significant difference was observed between participant geography students’ genders and their self-efficacy levels on the field of geography \( t(88) = -2.098; p < 0.05 \). Whether there is a significant difference between participant geography department students’ genders and the sub-dimensions of the scale are displayed on Table 8.

There were no significant differences between the opinions of geography department students regarding the gender variable and the turning geography into life skills and the awareness in behaviors in the field of geography sub-dimensions. However, there was a significant difference between opinions regarding the geography self-perception dimension and the gender variable \( t(88) = -1.960; p < 0.05 \).

4. Geography department students’ self-efficacy levels on the field of geography regarding the “education type” variable

Whether there is a significant difference between geography department students’ self-efficacy levels on the field of geography regarding the education type variable was determined with the independent sample t-test and the results are given in Table 9.

The mean of participant geography department students’ self-efficacy scores who receive daytime education regarding the field of geography is \( \bar{x} = 74.10 \) and is \( \bar{x} = 75.09 \) for students receiving evening education.
According to the t-test result; there are no significant differences between the education type variable and the self-efficacy levels on the field of geography \( t_{(88)} = -0.674; p > 0.05 \).

4. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

According to the results of this study, self-efficacy scores of senior geography department students on the field of geography are at a moderate level. This result is in line with the results of studies conducted on self-efficacy level in the field of geography. In studies conducted by Gecit and Beldag (2014) on 54 social sciences teachers working in Rize; by Bahtiyar Karadeniz (2011) on 164 teachers working in Ordu; by Karadeniz and Ozdemir (2006) on 184 pre-service teachers from Ondokuz Mayis University and by Coskun (2007) on 158 pre-service teachers from Erzurum, it was determined that the level of self-efficacy beliefs of participants on geography were “moderate”.

It was observed that senior geography department students’ self-efficacy perceptions on the field of geography regarding the gender variable showed no statistical significant difference. A similar result was observed in Coskun’s (2007) study, indicating that male pre-service teachers have a higher level of self-efficacy perception. This result was suggested to be based on the fact that male pre-service teachers have a higher level of self-confidence in the field or because that female pre-service teachers approached to various far-reaching items with doubt. In a study by Akengin et al. (2010), a significant difference was detected in favor of female students, and this was linked to the fact that female students have a more positive attitude towards this profession. On the other hand, according to Gecit and Beldag (2014) and Bahtiyar Karadeniz’s (2011) study, there were no statistical significant differences between self-efficacy levels of teachers on the field of geography regarding the gender variable for the overall scale and for the sub-dimensions.

In this study, whether the education type led to a significant difference between the self-efficacy levels of senior geography department students on the field of geography was explored, and it was stated that the self-efficacy levels of students on the field of geography do not differ significantly according to the education type variable. It is also possible to state that the senior geography department students in the two groups have almost the same self-efficacy levels. A similar finding was determined from the study conducted by Coskun (2007).

The sub-dimensions of the data collection instrument of the study was also examined and an existence of several curves were detected. According to the outstanding findings; the item which received the most positive responses under the turning geography into life skills sub-dimension was the item including, “I can evaluate my environment in a social-cultural and economical view with my geography knowledge” statement. Students believe that they have acquired the skills to evaluate their environment differently through the geography course they took during university. The item which received the most positive answers for the geography self-perception sub-dimension was “I believe I can comprehend problems regarding the atmosphere through my geography knowledge” item. The item which received the most negative opinions for this dimension was, “I believe that I am not furnished with sufficient geographical knowledge to assist me after I complete my
education.” Thus, a certain proportion of the geography department students believe they are not furnished with a sufficient amount of geographical knowledge for their professional life. One of the outstanding opinions of geography department students regarding the awareness in behaviors in the field of geography was; “I believe that I cannot fully learn geographical terms”. 20% of the students gave responses as “always” and “usually”; and 40% gave responses as “sometimes”. Coşkun (2007), who conducted a study on pre-service social sciences teachers and reached a similar result, stated that giving more place to geographical terms and the techniques related to teaching these terms during the university education of pre-service social sciences teachers and also increasing the number of practices will contribute to solving this problem. In addition, it was stated that guiding pre-service social sciences teachers to buy a geography terms dictionary and providing them with more sources in the field of geography will facilitate the comprehension of these concepts and solving problems related to this field.

When study results are considered in general terms, it is obvious that senior geography department students do not have various prejudgments on the field of geography. It possible to take some precautions to increase the present moderate level of self-efficacy up to a higher level. Among these precautions are, giving more focus on teaching the concept of geography and giving more space to applied courses that show that geography knowledge can be effectively mastered in everyday life. Senior geography department students’ self-efficacy levels regarding the field of geography was aimed at being determined in this study. Similar studies should be conducted on pre-service geography teachers and geography teachers.
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GENİŞ ÖZET

Giriş


1. Coğrafya bölümü öğrencilerinin coğrafya alanına ilişkin öz yeterlik Ölçeğindeki maddelere ilişkin görüşleri neledir?

2. Coğrafya bölümü öğrencilerinin coğrafya alanına yönelik öz yeterlik Ölçeğindeki maddelere ilişkin görüşleri neledir?

YÖNTEM

Coğrafya bölümünde son sınıf öğrencilere coğrafya alanında yönelik öz-yeterlik seviyelerini belirlemek amacıyla yapılan bu çalışma, geçişteki dönemde alır. Adı geçen dersin öncesi coğrafya inançlara bakılır. Coğrafya alanı içerisinde coğrafya bölümü öğrencilerinin coğrafya alanına yönelik öz yeterlik Ölçeğindeki maddelere ilişkin görüşleri orta düzeyde olduğu belirtilmiştir. 

ÖLÇÜS

Coğrafya bölümünde son sınıf öğrencilere coğrafya alanında yönelik öz-yeterlik seviyelerini belirlemek amacıyla yapılan bu araştırma, geçişteki dönemde alır. Adı geçen dersin öncesi coğrafya inançlara bakılır. Coğrafya alanı içerisinde coğrafya bölümü öğrencilerinin coğrafya alanına yönelik öz yeterlik Ölçeğindeki maddelere ilişkin görüşleri orta düzeyde olduğu belirtilmiştir. 

ÖLÇÜS

Coğrafya bölümünde son sınıf öğrencilere coğrafya alanında yönelik öz-yeterlik seviyelerini belirlemek amacıyla yapılan bu araştırma, geçişteki dönemde alır. Adı geçen dersin öncesi coğrafya inançlara bakılır. Coğrafya alanı içerisinde coğrafya bölümü öğrencilerinin coğrafya alanına yönelik öz yeterlik Ölçeğindeki maddelere ilişkin görüşleri orta düzeyde olduğu belirtilmiştir. 

ÖLÇÜS

Coğrafya bölümünde son sınıf öğrencilere coğrafya alanında yönelik öz-yeterlik seviyelerini belirlemek amacıyla yapılan bu araştırma, geçişteki dönemde alır. Adı geçen dersin öncesi coğrafya inançlara bakılır. Coğrafya alanı içerisinde coğrafya bölümü öğrencilerinin coğrafya alanına yönelik öz yeterlik Ölçeğindeki maddelere ilişkin görüşleri orta düzeyde olduğu belirtilmiştir. 

ÖLÇÜS

Coğrafya bölümünde son sınıf öğrencilere coğrafya alanında yönelik öz-yeterlik seviyelerini belirlemek amacıyla yapılan bu araştırma, geçişteki dönemde alır. Adı geçen dersin öncesi coğrafya inançlara bakılır. Coğrafya alanı içerisinde coğrafya bölümü öğrencilerinin coğrafya alanına yönelik öz yeterlik Ölçeğindeki maddelere ilişkin görüşleri orta düzeyde olduğu belirtilmiştir. 

ÖLÇÜS

Coğrafya bölümünde son sınıfta öğrencilerinin coğrafya alanına yönelik öz-yeterlik Ölçeğindeki maddelere ilişkin görüşleri neledir?

ÖLÇÜS

Coğrafya bölümlerine ilişkin öğrencilere coğrafya alanına yönelik öz-yeterlik Ölçeğindeki maddelere ilişkin görüşleri neledir?

ÖLÇÜS

Coğrafya bölümlerine ilişkin öğrencilere coğrafya alanına yönelik öz-yeterlik Ölçeğindeki maddelere ilişkin görüşleri neledir?

ÖLÇÜS

Coğrafya bölümlerine ilişkin öğrencilere coğrafya alanına yönelik öz-yeterlik Ölçeğindeki maddelere ilişkin görüşleri neledir?

türü" değişkenlerine göre anlamlı farklılık gösterip göstermediği “Bağımsız Örneklemler için t-testi” ile belirlenmiştir.

**Sonuç ve Tartışma**


Bu araştırmada ayrıca, öğrenim türüne coğrafya bölümü son sınıf öğrencilerinin coğrafya alanına yönelik öz yeterlilik seviyelerinde anlamlı bir fark oluşturduru belirlenmiştir. Öğrencilerin coğrafya alanına yönelik öz yeterlilik seviyelerinde öğrenim türüne göre anlamlı bir farklaştırmadığı belirlenmiştir. Her iki gruptaki coğrafya bölümü son sınıf öğrencilerini denk sayılabilecek öz yeterlilik seviyelerinde olduğu ifade etmek mümkündür. Coşkun (2007) tarafından yapılan araştırmada da benzer bir bulgu ulaşılmıştır.

Araştırma sonuçları genel olarak değerlendirildiğinde, coğrafya bölümü son sınıf öğrencilerinin coğrafya alanına yönelik çeşitli önyargılar içinde olmadığı görülmektedir. Mevcut öz yeterlilik seviyesini orta düzeyen üst düzeyeye çıkarabilmek için bazı önlemler almak mümkündür. Söz konusu bu tip önlemler arasında, coğrafyada kavram öğretmeni üzerinde daha fazla durulması ve coğrafya bilgilerinin günlük yaşamda etkin olarak kullanılabileceğini gösteren uygulamalı derslere daha fazla yer verilmesini saymak mümkündür. Bu araştırmada sadece coğrafya bölümü son sınıf öğrencilerinin coğrafya alanına yönelik öz yeterlikleri tespit edilmeye çalışılmıştır. Benzer çalışmalar coğrafya öğretmen adaylarına, coğrafya öğretmenlerine de uygulanmalıdır.